Submission ID: SA9F92437

I wish to preface this with a complaint about the way NG have responded with 'thematic responses' but little or no indexing in a 200 approx. page document! And only a very very short time period is allowed for responses. This feels like this process is unduly weighted against individuals such as myself in favour of large organisations such as NG. However I am doing the best I can to respond to NG's 'thematic responses' as follows [NB: for ease I have used their referencing system]:

7.2.1 Impact of increased dust and fumes during construction, including health impacts

As an advantage of the during this construction in Kent [I live opposite the construction will affect my well being and life. Also the proposal to now use the hoverport has furthered my concerns for my health and well being. Air quality, dust and noise are all major factors which will impact on me. I would like to see far more stringent proof of the controls and monitoring that will be put in place. I cannot see it is even possible to keep the dust and pollution levels to within acceptable confines when this project is so huge and involves such an enormous amount of engineering because it is being built on unstable and unsuitable marshland; necessitating huge amounts of deep piling and it will need almost constant maintenance until it ultimately fails.

7.2.2 The potential for construction phase air quality impacts on sensitive habitats and plant communities
Please refer to my previous point except I contend the some parts of the ecological communities are even more vulnerable
[and important] than I am [and I can choose to move away finances allowing - nature can't escape]. Again I am not
satisfied that sufficient protective measures will be put in place.

7.3.7 Construction traffic will lead to congestion and deter tourism to the area.

As local resident I have to strongly contest the points NG make here in regard to the Kent Road system. Even a slight increase in normal holiday traffic during the summer causes major holds up around the various access roads which NG are proposing be used. It is utterly ridiculous to suggest only minimal impact.

I would have made further comments but wish to submit this within the extremely short timeline.